

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/640,197	08/16/2000	William P. Davis	12152US01	3232
7	590 06/13/2002			
McAndrews Held & Malloy Ltd 500 Madison Street 34th Floor			EXAMINER	
			HANSEN, JAMES ORVILLE	
Chicago, IL 6	0661		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3637	
			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 2023 I
WWW.uspto.gov

APPL	JCATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.			
_			٦	EXAMINER				
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
		·		DATE MAILED	:			
Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)								
the form 1238 O.		ent filed on	is considered non-compliant be a sphended on September 8, 2000 (see 65 Fed.	ecause it has not be Reg. 54603, Sept.	een submitted in 8, 2000, and			
	1. The amendment does not include a clean version of the replacement paragraph(s)/section(s). 37 CFR 1.121(b)(1)(ii).							
	2. The amendment does not include a marked-up version of the replacement paragraph(s)/section(s). 37 CFR 1.121(b)(1)(iii)							
	3. The amendment does not include a clean version of the amended claim(s). 37 CFR 1.121(c)(1)(i)							
	4. The amendment does not include a marked-up version of the amended claim(s). 37 CFR 1.121(c)(1)(ii)							
	5. Other				•			
	with revised may commer	37 CFR 1.121 with the without entry of	NT: Unless applicant re-submits the preling him ONE MONTH of the mail date of this of the originally proposed preliminary amend this ONE MONTH time limit is not extend the original of the limit is not extend the original of the limit is not extend the limit is not exten	letter, examinate	ion on the merits			
	AMENDMENT AFTER NON-FINAL ACTION: Since the above mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given a TIME PERIOD of ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).							
(MPE		k Bulletin on '	to this correspondence is a copy of Simplified Amendment Practice"		tional flyer			

Changes to the Patent Rules

October 20, 2000

Volume 1, Issue 3

This is the third in a series of Patent News Bulletins to assist you in keeping up to date with significant rule changes which affect your area.

Keep this copy to use as a bookmark for your present MPEP, or view this bulletin again on the USPTO Website.

 $\langle \rangle$

Simplified Amendment Practice.

Replacement paragraphs/sections/claims to be used. 37 CFR 1.121

The rule package
"Changes to the Patent
Business Goals - Final
Rule," published in the
Federal Register on
September 8, 2000, 65
Fed. Reg. 54603 (Sept.
8, 2000), and the Official
Gazette on
September 19, 2000,
1238 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 77 (September 19,
2000). The PBG rule
package makes a number

The entire final rule may be found at the USPTO Website at http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/olia/pbg/index.html.

of revisions to Title 37.

Areas and individuals
primarily affected by this
rule change include:
(1) Patent Examiners and
Tech Support Staff in the
Technology Centers
(2) Office of Patent
Publication

Any questions related to this change in practice should be directed to Joe Narcavage, Special Projects Exr., (703-305-1795) or Liz. Dougherty, Legal Advisor, (703-306-3156) OPLA.

Mandatory compliance with the revised rule is not required until March 1, 2001. It is suggested that applicants adopt the revised procedures on or after November 7, 2000, in order to adjust to the changes in amendment practice.

Under the new amendment practice, amendments to the specification must be made by the submission of clean new or replacement paragraph(s), section(s), specification, or claim(s). This practice will provide a specification (including claims) in clean, or substantially clean, form that can be effectively captured and converted by optical character recognition (OCR) scanning during the patent printing process.

The new practice requires applicant to provide, in addition to the clean version of a replacement paragraph/section/claim, a marked-up version using applicant's choice of a conventional

marking system to indicate the changes, which will aid the examiner in identifying the changes that have been made. The marked-up version must be based on the previous version and indicate (by markings) how the previous version has been modified to produce the clean version submitted in the current amendment. The term "previous version" means the version of record in the application as originally filed or from a previously entered amendment.

The following format is suggested in an amendment paper: (1) a clean version of each replacement paragraph/section/claim with clear instructions for entry; (2) starting on a separate page, any remarks/arguments (37 CFR 1.111); and (3) starting on a separate page, a marked-up

version entitled "Version with markings to show changes made."

Applicants will also be able to submit a clean set of all pending claims, consolidating all previous versions of pending claims from a series of separate amendments into a single clean version in a single amendment paper. This submission of a clean version of all of the pending claims will be construed as directing the cancellation of all previous versions of any pending claims. No marked-up version will be required to accompany the clean version where no changes other than the consolidation are being made.

The amended rule encourages issuance of applications with an examiner's amendment without practitioners/applicants having to file a formal amendment. Additions or deletions of subject matter in the specification, including the claims, may continue to be

made in an examiner's amendment at the time of allowance by instructions to make any change at a precise location in the specification or the claims. An examiner's amendment may incorporate a printed copy of a fax or email amendment submitted by applicant. Only that part of the e-mail or fax directed to a clean version, or a portion of, a paragraph/claim to be added should be printed and attached to the examiner's amendment, with a paper copy of the entire e-mail or fax being entered in the file. The electronic version of the e-mail is not required to be saved once the printed e-mail (and any attachments) becomes part of the application file record.

Amendment by paragraph/claim replacement in clean form.



MPEP 714+ & 1302:04